Probing $5f$ electronic hybridization in Uranium compounds via x-ray magnetic circular dichroism

R. D. dos Reis,[1,2] L. S. I. Veiga,[1,2] D. Haskel,[3] J. C. Lang,[3] Y. Joly,[4,5] F. G. Gandra,[2] and N. M. Souza-Neto[1,3,*]

[1] *Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (LNLS), Campinas, SP 13083-970, Brazil*
[2] *Instituto de Fisica Gleb Wataghin, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), SP, Brazil*
[3] *Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, U.S.A.*
[4] *Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Inst. NEEL, F-38042 Grenoble, France*
[5] *CNRS, Inst. NEEL, F-38042 Grenoble, France*

We study the spin-dependent electronic structure of UTe and UT_2Si_2(T=Cu and Mn) compounds with a combination of x-ray magnetic circular dichroism measurements and first principle calculations. By exploiting the presence of sizable quadrupolar and dipolar contributions to the U $L_{2,3}$-edge x-ray absorption cross section we are able to provide unique information on the extent of hybridization between $5f$ and $6d/3d$ electronic states, a key parameter regulating the physical properties of all actinide materials. Since this information is hardly accessible to other probes, the new methodology opens up new venues for investigating this important class of materials.

arXiv:1601.02443v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 11 Jan 2016

The extent of f-state electronic hybridization with valence/conduction band states is key in defining the physical properties of rare earths and actinide compounds. In actinide elements [1–3] the large energy bandwidth of $5f$-states puts them in an intermediate scenario between the localized $4f$ states of rare-earths and the delocalized $3d$ states of transition metals. For example, the extent of $5f$-$6d$ hybridization is key to address some still open questions on the itinerant electron behavior in UTe [4] and UGe_2 [5] and the recent interpretation of hastatic order in the heavy-fermion compound URu_2Si_2 [6]. Although we focus here on magnetic properties[3], the f-d hybridization also affects intriguing phenomena such as oxidation states [7, 8], electronic structure[9, 10], pressure induced changes[8, 11–14], and the character of bonding[2], among others. A few resonant and non-resonant high resolution x-ray spectroscopy techniques [4, 7, 9–11, 15] have recently been proposed to study the electronic structure of actinide compounds showing decisive results in some cases. However, a method to directly and selectively probe the electronic $5f$, $6d$ states and their hybridization has not been available yet, which, in turn, is crucial for a comprehensive understanding of the unconventional mechanisms that regulate the physics of $5f$ electrons in actinides. In addition to that, with the intrinsic dificulties handling actinide elements due to their toxic and radioactive nature, theoretical work on actinide compounds has been much more extensive than experimental studies [2, 6, 16, 17]. Therefore the need for a technique capable of directly probing the relevant electronic states, as well as testing theoretical predictions, is abundantly clear.

It is well known that $5f$ states of actinides can be directly probed by x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) at $M_{4,5}$ ($3d \rightarrow 5f$) or $N_{4,5}$ ($4d \rightarrow 5f$) transitions allowing determination of orbital and spin magnetic moment via x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) sum rules[18, 19]. But information on the $6d$ states is absent in these measurements. By using $L_{2,3}$-edges XAS spectra instead, which involves $2p \rightarrow 5d,6d$ and $2p \rightarrow 4f,5f$ transitions in the dipolar and quadrupolar channels[13], respectively, information on both $5f$ and $6d$ states (and their hybridization) can in principle be obtained. However, experimental difficulties such as the inefficiency to produce circular polarization and the relatively poor energy resolution available at the high energy range (17 to 21 keV) required for Uranium edges together with the difficulties in handling radioactive elements at large user facilities have thwarted efforts to perform careful $L_{2,3}$ XMCD studies on Uranium or any other actinide compound. Here we report on x-ray magnetic circular dichroism in the high energy Uranium $L_{2,3}$-edges for UTe and UT_2Si_2 (T=Cu,Mn) compounds. We demonstrate the use of this technique in combination with first principle calculations to directly address the question of electronic hybridization between $5f$ and $6d$ states. We find that an analysis of the relative amplitudes of (sizable) quadrupolar and dipolar contributions to the XMCD signal at U $L_{2,3}$-edges allows us to provide previously unavailable information on this key aspect of the electronic structure of actinide materials. In addition, we use the element selectivity of XMCD to show that Uranium sub-lattice carries a induced magnetic moment above its ordering temperature. The ability to directly probe experimentally the $5f$-$6d$ hybridization and test validity of theoretical modes should guide efforts to understand the magnetic and electronic structures that govern a vast number of actinide compounds with yet bewildering physical properties.

We have selected UTe as the reference compound to benchmark the new methodology in part because questions remain on the degree of $5f$ states involvement in its electronic properties. [22], Uranium $L_{2,3}$-edge (17.166 keV and 20.95 keV) XMCD measurements on UTe are presented in Figure 1, where at the L_3 edge two negative peaks (A and B) are evident . With the support of *ab initio* simulations using the FDMNES code [20] shown in Figure 1c, in which we consider a 3.0 eV core hole broadening, we argue that one of the two contributions



Figure 1. (color online) Uranium $L_{2,3}$-edges XANES (a) and XMCD (b) spectra measured for the UTe compound at temperature of 10 K (well below the 104 K ferromagnetic ordering temperature) and applied field of 0.45 T. In (c) are shown the corresponding *ab initio* simulations of UTe XMCD spectra using the FDMNES code [20]. The features in the experimental spectra are interpreted in view of dipolar ($6d$) and quadrupolar ($5f$) contributions as discussed in the text. Lines are guides to the eye. These experiments were performed at two synchrotron facilities (Advanced Photon Source in Chicago/U.S.A. and Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory in Campinas/Brazil) with completely independent samples grown in Germany and Brazil, respectively, as described in the detailed materials and methods presented in supplemental materials[21]. This support our findings with equivalent results from independent experiments and samples.

to the lowest energy XMCD peak (labeled A in the plot) comes from the $5f$ orbitals through a pure quadrupolar term in the absorption cross section. In addition to that, a second contribution to the amplitude of peak A arises from the sizable $5f$-$6d$ hybridizatuib probed through the dipolar channel, as demonstrated in the theoretical spectrum of figure 1c. More details about the procedure to perform these simulations are given in the supplemental material [21], as well as the supporting density of states determined by LDA+U calculations. The large $5f$-quadrupolar term at the L_3 edge relies on the fact that the x-ray photon wavelength ($\lambda = 0.722\,\AA$ in this case) is comparable to the size of the electron orbit [23]. In other words, the higher energy of the Uranium L-edges (17 keV) enhances the quadrupolar contribution from the transition Hamiltonian operator when compared to lower energy L-edges of rare earths[13, 24] (5 to 10 keV). The dipolar term which essentially probes the $6d$ orbitals (mainly in peak B) is also present in the same L_3-edge spectrum making this an unique tool to directly probe both $5f$ and $6d$ orbitals in the same experiment. On the other hand, similarly to the rare earths, the quadrupolar contribution is very weakly present at the L_2-edge XMCD due to the combination of how the spread energy levels and Hamiltonian matrix elements contribute to the multiple scattering amplitudes in this case [23] and a very strong spin-orbit coupling influence of Uranium orbitals. This is verified by the experimental and calculated XMCD spectrum at the U L_2-edge (figure 1) where the peak C is predominantly a contribution from the dipolar term with the weak $5f$ quadrupolar term contributing negatively to the total amplitude. Nevertheless, we could still expect to see some indications of $5f$-$6d$ electronic hybridization at the L_2-edge through the dipolar channel similarly to the L_3-edge.

Our findings of the large $5f$ and mixed $5f/6d$ contribution at the L_3-edge appears to be in contradiction with the only other report of magnetic study on an actinide L-edge by Wermeille *et al.* [25]. They report on x-ray resonant magnetic measurements of UPd_2Si_2 where two peaks appear at the L_3-edge, both assigned to dipolar transitions. Their conclusion is based on polarization analysis and the Q-dependence of the scattered intensities. Although we cannot directly compare results on different compounds using different techniques, in what follows we present results on UT_2Si_2 compounds (T=Cu,Mn) with the same U-122 structure as UPd_2Si_2 where experiment and theory show clear evidence for quadrupolar contributions in the XMCD spectra.

UCu_2Si_2 and UMn_2Si_2 are ferromagnetic (FM) compounds, with ordering temperatures of T_C =103 K and 377 K, of the family of intermetallics UT_2X_2 (1:2:2) with T = transition metal and X = Si or Ge [26, 27]. This family presents a wide variety of electronic and magnetic properties, often times argued to be due to the relationship between magnetism and the hybridization effects amongst Uranium $5f$ states and $3d/4d/5d$ states[26, 28]. The methodology described above is the ideal method to test the validity of proposed theoretical models for this family of compounds. While much attention has been given to UCu_2Si_2 [29–31], only a few studies on UMn_2Si_2 are reported so far [27, 32]. The UCu_2Si_2 compound is reported to show dual itinerant/localized character of the $5f$ electrons being a strongly anisotropic ferromagnet and exhibiting the Kondo effect[29, 30]. In this picture the FM order comes from the part of the U $5f$ highly localized electrons giving rise to the high T_C value[31]. On the other hand, UMn_2Si_2 orders ferromagnetically [27, 32]



Figure 2. (Color online) Experimental Uranium L_3 edge XANES (a) and XMCD (b) measurements for UCu_2Si_2 and UMn_2Si_2 performed at temperatures of 10 K and 300 K, respectively. In (c) are shown the corresponding *ab initio* simulations for both compounds.The difference observed on XMCD experimental data for the ratio between the intensities of quadrupolar and dipolar intensities is very well reproduced on the simulated spectra and the explanation for this behavior is discussed on the main text.



Figure 3. (Color online) First principle calculations of each contribution to the XMCD spectra for the UCu_2Si_2 and UMn_2Si_2 compounds. The quadrupolar contribution has opposite sign between the two compounds.

below 377 K for the Mn sublattice and below about 100 K for the U sublattice. . The electronic structure of this series is characterized by the relative energy positions of the d states of a given transition metal atom compared with those of the uranium $5f$ states[26]. While in the Mn-compound the $3d$ and $5f$ states are closer in energy and the f-d hybridization is considered to be the largest among the family of 1:2:2 silicates, in the Cu compound the $3d$ and $5f$ states are most distant and therefore the hybridization is almost negligible[26, 28].

Figure 2 shows experimental and theoretical XANES/XMCD spectra of U-L_3 edges for UCu_2Si_2 and UMn_2Si_2. A small difference in the integrated area under the main XANES peak (so called white-line) is observed between the two compounds. This indicates that UCu_2Si_2 presents a larger number of unnoccupied states above the Fermi level than UMn_2Si_2, which may be an indication of the former being much less hybridized than the latter, in agreement with the theoretical predictions[26, 33]. Similarly to the case of UTe, the XMCD data for these two compounds, shown in Figure 2(b), present two well defined peaks. Using the model proposed for UTe, the peak at higher energy would be related to a dipolar ($6d$) contribution while the peak at lower energy would be a measure of both quadrupolar contribution ($5f$) and its overlap with the dipolar contribution due to $5f/6d$ hybridization. This scenario is again supported by first principle calculations of the XMCD spectra as shown on Figure 2(c) which reproduce well the experimental features and differences between the compounds. Interestingly enough, the amplitude ratio between the two XMCD peaks is opposite for the two compounds. As supported by the calculations of the dipolar/quadrupolar contributions shown in Figure 3, this difference between the two compounds is due to the fact that the dipolar contribution is almost the same for both compounds while the quadrupolar contribution has opposite sign between the two materials. Altogether, these results indicate that while the $5f$ and $6d$ moments are parallel for UMn_2Si_2, the same moments are aligned anti-parallel in the UCu_2Si_2. This is also consistent with LDA+U simulations used to estimate the $5f$ / $6d$ moments[21].

In addition to this orbital-selective probe of the spin-dependent empty density of states using XMCD at the U-$L_{2,3}$ edges, it is also worth commenting on the element selectivity of the technique to determine that the mag-

netism of the Uranium for both compounds seem to have comparable amplitudes. This is surprising considering the lack of other examples of a magnetic moment on Uranium at ambient temperature, as we observed here for the UMn_2Si_2. Since a previous neutron scattering study [27] shows ordering of the Uranium sublattice below 100 K, the sizeable XMCD signal in the U at 300 K is induced by f-d hybridization with the magnetically ordered Mn sublattice. We would expect that at temperatures below 100 K the low energy peak of the L_3 edge XMCD would increase in amplitude even further due to the full magnetic ordering of the uranium sublattice. This observation of induced quadrupolar contribution is a quite unusual scenario when compared to rare earths [34]. In $4f$ systems an induced $5d$ moment would not lead to an induced $4f$ moment since these orbitals would not strongly hybridize considering the localized nature of the $4f$ orbitals. Here, on the other hand, the $5f$-$6d$ hybridize strongly so an induced $6d$ moment can also induce a $5f$ moment. This is likely to be taking place as indicated by our theoretical simulations.

In summary, we demonstrate that U $L_{2,3}$ XMCD measurements combined with first principle calculations provide a unique probe of both $5f$ and $6d$ orbitals, as well as their hybridization, through dipolar and quadrupolar contributions to the XMCD spectra. Since this hybridization is key in determining the physical properties of a vast number of actinide compounds this methodology is bound to improve our understanding of these correlated electron systems as well as provide an unique route to test validity of theoretical models. It is noteworthy that the methodology developed here, using penetrating high-energy x-rays, can also be applied to study the evolution of f-d hybridization under applied pressure providing an unique tool for tuning electron correlations for discovery of novel phenomena. Finally, we showed presence of magnetic contributions in Uranium sub-lattice in UMn_2Si_2 and UCu_2Si_2 compounds, with a clear magnetic signal from uranium atoms at room temperature in UMn_2Si_2, a result of induced $6d$ and $5f$ moments due to hybridization with the magnetically ordered Mn $3d$ orbitals.

We are thankful to Roberto Caciuffo and Gerry Lander for discussions and comments on the manuscript. We also thank Roberto Caciuffo for providing one of the UTe samples. Work at Argonne is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC-02-06CH11357. Work at LNLS is supported by the Brazilian ministry of science and technology. RDR and LSIV thank the funding for their Ph.D. fellowships from CAPES and FAPESP brazilian agencies.

* narcizo.souza@lnls.br

[1] U. Benedict and W. B. Holzapfel, "Handbook on the physics and chemistry of rare earths," (Elsevier, 1993) Chap. 113, p. 245.
[2] K. T. Moore and G. van der Laan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 235 (2009).
[3] P. Santini, R. Lemanski, and P. Erdos, Advances in Physics **48**, 537 (1999).
[4] T. Durakiewicz, C. D. Batista, J. D. Thompson, C. G. Olson, J. J. Joyce, G. H. Lander, J. E. Gubernatis, E. Guziewicz, M. T. Butterfield, A. J. Arko, J. Bonča, K. Mattenberger, and O. Vogt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 267205 (2004).
[5] S. S. Saxena, P. Agarwal, K. Ahilan, F. M. Grosche, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, M. J. Stelner, E. Pugh, I. R. Walker, S. R. Julian, P. Monthoux, G. G. Lonzarich, A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, D. Bralthwalte, and J. Flouguet, Nature **406**, 587 (2000).
[6] P. Chandra, P. Coleman, and R. Flint, Nature **493**, 621 (2013).
[7] K. O. Kvashnina, S. M. Butorin, P. Martin, and P. Glatzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 253002 (2013).
[8] N. M. Souza-Neto, J. Zhao, E. E. Alp, G. Shen, S. V. Sinogeikin, G. Lapertot, and D. Haskel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 026403 (2012).
[9] R. Caciuffo, G. van der Laan, L. Simonelli, T. Vitova, C. Mazzoli, M. A. Denecke, and G. H. Lander, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 195104 (2010).
[10] T. Durakiewicz, J. J. Joyce, G. H. Lander, C. G. Olson, M. T. Butterfield, E. Guziewicz, A. J. Arko, L. Morales, J. Rebizant, K. Mattenberger, and O. Vogt, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 205103 (2004).
[11] S. Heathman, J.-P. Rueff, L. Simonelli, M. A. Denecke, J.-C. Griveau, R. Caciuffo, and G. H. Lander, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 201103 (2010).
[12] A. L. Cornelius, J. S. Schilling, O. Vogt, K. Mattenberger, and U. Benedict, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **161**, 169 (1996).
[13] N. M. Souza-Neto, D. Haskel, Y.-C. Tseng, and G. Lapertot, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 057206 (2009).
[14] A. Kolomiets, L. Havela, J. Prchal, and A. V. Andreev, Journal of the Korean Physical Society **62**, 1572 (2013).
[15] F. L. Boariu, C. Bareille, H. Schwab, A. Nuber, P. Lejay, T. Durakiewicz, F. Reinert, and A. F. Santander-Syro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 156404 (2013).
[16] S.-T. Pi, R. Nanguneri, and S. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 077203 (2014).
[17] A. B. Shick and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 300 (2001).
[18] T. Okane, Y. Takeda, J. Okamoto, K. Mamiya, T. Ohkochi, S. ichi Fujimori, Y. Saitoh, H. Yamagami, A. Fujimori, A. Ochiai, and A. Tanaka, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **77**, 024706 (2008).
[19] N. Kernavanois, P. D. de Reotier, A. Yaouane, J.-P. Sanchez, V. Honkimaki, T. Tschentscher, J. McCarthy, and O. Vogt, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 9677 (2001).
[20] Y. Joly, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 125120 (2001).
[21] "See supplemental material at [url will be inserted by publisher] for detailed methods.".
[22] Theoretical studies have proposed different scenarios for UTe based on mixed-valent regime, the role of orbital hybridization and a collective Kondo state, and experimen-

tal studies have argued in favor of itinerant magnetism in the $5f$-electrons [4].

[23] R.-M. Galera, Y. Joly, A. Rogalev, and N. Binggeli, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **20**, 395217 (2008).

[24] J. C. Lang, G. Srajer, C. Detlefs, A. I. Goldman, H. Konig, X. Wang, B. N. Harmon, and R. W. McCallum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4935 (1995).

[25] D. Wermeille, C. Vettier, N. Bernhoeft, A. Stunault, S. Langridge, F. de Bergevin, F. Yakhou, E. Lidstrom, J. Flouguet, and P. Lejay, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 9185 (1998).

[26] A. Mavromaras, L. Sandratskii, and J. Kübler, Solid State Communications **106**, 115 (1998).

[27] A. Szytuka, S. Siek, J. Leciejewicz, A. Zygmunt, and Z. Ban, Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids **49**, 1113 (1988).

[28] L. Sandratskii and J. Kübler, Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials , 1397 (1995).

[29] A. Cornelius, R. Kumar, M. Jacobsen, E. Bauer, J. Sarrao, and Z. Fisk, Physica B: Condensed Matter **403**, 940 (2008).

[30] S. Roy, A. Pradhan, P. Chaddah, and B. Coles, Solid State Communications **99**, 563 (1996).

[31] R. Troć, M. Samsel Czekaa, J. Stępie ń Damm, and B. Coqblin, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 224434 (2012).

[32] R. Chaughule, R. Nagarajan, L. Gupta, R. Vijayaraghavan, A. Kulkarni, P. Raj, P. Suryanarayana, A. Sathyamoorthy, K. Shashikala, and R. Begum, Journal of Alloys and Compounds **178**, 385 (1992).

[33] J. Morkowski, G. Chelkowska, M. Werwinski, A. Szajek, R. Troc, and C. Neise, Journal of Alloys and Compounds **509**, 6994 (2011).

[34] M. V. Lalic, J. Mestnik-Filho, A. W. Carbonari, and R. N. Saxena, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **272-276**, 633 (2004).